

ROSES 2015 Survey Results

Mona Kessel
NASA HQ



Paper Survey given to panelists for some ROSES panels last fall

- Guest Investigator Program (mag only)
- Supporting Research Program (all sub-disciplines)
- LCAS (HTIDS) Program (ITM-mag only)

Reporting only on SR (Supporting Research)

Choose the sub-discipline that best describes the subject matter of the review.

- ☐ Solar
☐ Heliophysics
☐ Magnetosphere(s)
☐ Ionosphere-Thermosphere-Mesosphere
☐ Interdisciplinary/coupling

How many times have you served on a NASA panel?

- ☐ 1 - once (this time)
☐ 2 - twice
☐ 3 - 5 times
☐ More than 5 times

Have you proposed for Heliophysics funding through NASA ROSES (as PI or CoI)?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No

Have you been awarded Heliophysics funding through NASA ROSES (as PI or CoI)?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No

Would you serve again?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Possibly

There is space for comments on the back of this page

The panel had sufficient expertise for evaluation of the proposals.

<i>Disagree</i>					<i>Agree</i>
1	2	3	4	5	

You felt qualified to evaluate your own assignments.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

Proposals were discussed in adequate detail.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

Panelists were able to express their views.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

Discussion was objective and unbiased.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

Headquarters personnel provided appropriate oversight.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

The overall process is fair.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---


The best proposals received the highest grades.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---


Similar or better results could be achieved with less effort.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---


How many times have you served on a NASA panel?




Have you **proposed** for
Heliophysics funding through
NASA ROSES (as PI or CoI)?



Have you been **awarded**
Heliophysics funding through
NASA ROSES (as PI or CoI)?



Rank the following on a scale of 1 to 5 (where 1 is disagree and 5 is agree)



Some handwritten comments

- colleagues of PIs showed a tendency to rate higher than average
- more effort should be put into matching the expertise of the panelists with individual proposals
- In general panel was run well. Support was good.
- it would be better to emphasize what PIs need to provide so that their proposals are more successful and also easier for reviewers
- I was not fully familiar with the topic of my assignments (2 of 3 assignments), but I think the proposals were evaluated properly because of help from secondary and other panelists
- panel was well run with excellent logistics and a smooth evaluation process
- overall good experience with a well chosen panel and location.
- Fair review of proposals. Smooth review process. We dedicated an appropriate amount of time for assessing the quality of proposals.

PI survey to go out via SurveyMonkey

DRAFT QUESTIONS

Choose the ROSES element you proposed to.

- ☐ Guest Investigator
- ☐ Supporting Research
- ☐ HTIDeS
- ☐ LWS
- ☐ Grand Challenge Research

Choose the sub-discipline that best describes the subject matter of your proposal.

- ☐ Solar
- ☐ Heliosphere
- ☐ Magnetosphere(s)
- ☐ Ionosphere-Thermosphere-Mesosphere
- ☐ Interdisciplinary/coupling

How many times have you submitted a proposal through NASA ROSES?

- ☐ 1 - once (this time)
- ☐ 2 - twice
- ☐ 3 - 5 times
- ☐ More than 5 times

Have you been awarded Heliophysics funding through NASA ROSES (PICoI)?

- ☐ Once
- ☐ Twice
- ☐ More than twice
- ☐ Never

Were you awarded funding through this competition?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

Will you submit another proposal in a future NASA ROSES competition?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

Have you served on a NASA ROSES review panel?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

Did you provide mail-in reviews for proposals in your competition?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

Did the review provide helpful information for a future proposal?

Not helpful			Very helpful		
1	2	3	4	5	

Were the comments consistent with the score?

Not consistent			Very consistent		
1	2	3	4	5	

If you submitted this proposal before, were the comments and score consistent with the previous review?

Not consistent			Very consistent		
1	2	3	4	5	

Overall, how satisfied are you with the review of your proposal

Not satisfied			Very satisfied.		
1	2	3	4	5	

Comments: